

UDC 37.091.33:811.111

<https://doi.org/10.33619/2414-2948/116/78>

DEVELOPING CRITICAL THINKING THROUGH ENGLISH LANGUAGE TEACHING

©*Zhumagulova E.*, SPIN-code: 4453-2267, International University
of the Kyrgyz Republic, Bishkek, Kyrgyzstan

©*Kachkynchieva A.*, Osh State Pedagogical University, Osh, Kyrgyzstan

©*Zhumaeva M.*, International University of the Kyrgyz Republic, Bishkek, Kyrgyzstan

РАЗВИТИЕ КРИТИЧЕСКОГО МЫШЛЕНИЯ ЧЕРЕЗ ОБУЧЕНИЕ АНГЛИЙСКОМУ ЯЗЫКУ

©*Жумагулова Э. Ж.*, SPIN-код: 4453-2267, Международный университет
Кыргызской Республики, г. Бишкек, Кыргызстан

©*Качкынчиева А. Ж.*, Ошский государственный педагогический
университет, г. Ош, Кыргызстан

©*Жумаева М. М.*, Международный университет
Кыргызской Республики, г. Бишкек, Кыргызстан

Abstract. In today's globalized and rapidly changing world, the ability to think critically is a vital skill for learners in all disciplines, especially in language education. This article explores the integration of critical thinking development into English language teaching (ELT), emphasizing how English classrooms can serve as a platform for fostering analytical, evaluative, and reflective thinking skills. By examining theoretical frameworks, practical methods, and classroom strategies, the article offers insight into how critical thinking can be promoted alongside linguistic competence. The paper concludes with recommendations for educators and implications for curriculum development.

Аннотация. В современном глобализованном и быстро меняющемся мире способность критически мыслить является жизненно важным навыком для учащихся по всем дисциплинам, особенно в языковом образовании. В данной статье рассматривается интеграция развития критического мышления в процесс преподавания английского языка (ELT), подчеркивается, как классы английского языка могут служить платформой для развития навыков аналитического, оценочного и рефлексивного мышления. Рассматривая теоретические основы, практические методы и стратегии работы в классе, статья предлагает понимание того, как можно развивать критическое мышление наряду с лингвистической компетенцией. В заключение статьи приводятся рекомендации для преподавателей и последствия для разработки учебных программ.

Keywords: critical thinking, english language teaching, higher-order thinking

Ключевые слова: критическое мышление, преподавание английского языка, мышление высшего порядка.

In recent decades, the role of English as a global language has extended far beyond communication. It now serves as a medium for academic success, professional development, and personal empowerment. In this context, English language teaching must evolve to meet the demands of the 21st century by incorporating skills such as creativity, collaboration, and most importantly, critical thinking. Critical thinking enables learners to assess information, form

reasoned judgments, and solve problems effectively — skills that are essential in a knowledge-based society. This article examines how English language teaching can contribute to the development of these skills, thereby enhancing not only linguistic competence but also the overall cognitive growth of learners.

Critical thinking has been defined by scholars such as Paul and Elder (2006) as the art of analyzing and evaluating thinking with a view to improving it. It involves a set of cognitive skills that include interpretation, analysis, inference, explanation, and self-regulation. Bloom's Taxonomy, which categorizes educational goals, highlights critical thinking as a higher-order cognitive skill that follows comprehension and application. Vygotsky's sociocultural theory also underpins the idea that cognitive development is mediated through language and social interaction, making the English language classroom an ideal environment for cultivating critical thought. As learners engage in communicative tasks, they practice organizing their thoughts, questioning assumptions, and defending their ideas, thereby internalizing critical thinking processes [1].

Effective integration of critical thinking in ELT requires a shift from traditional rote learning to more interactive, learner-centered approaches. Task-based learning (TBL) encourages students to engage in meaningful tasks that require decision-making and problem-solving. For example, students might plan a community project, write a persuasive essay, or simulate a debate on global issues. Project-based learning (PBL) further extends this by involving learners in long-term projects that demand research, collaboration, and presentation skills.

Inquiry-based activities, such as problem-solving tasks, encourage students to explore real-world issues and form evidence-based conclusions. Teachers can promote critical thinking by using open-ended questions, Socratic dialogue, and activities that require analysis and synthesis of information. Encouraging learners to compare perspectives, evaluate arguments, and reflect on their own learning fosters intellectual independence and deeper understanding. Integrating digital tools and multimedia resources also supports critical thinking by exposing learners to diverse viewpoints and engaging them in creative expression. Despite its significance, implementing critical thinking in the ELT classroom is not without challenges [2].

Teachers may lack sufficient training in fostering critical thinking skills or may be constrained by rigid curricula that prioritize grammar and vocabulary acquisition over cognitive development. Additionally, students from educational systems that emphasize memorization may initially struggle with the demands of critical analysis and open discussion.

Language proficiency also poses a barrier; learners with limited English skills may find it difficult to express complex ideas. Cultural expectations can influence classroom dynamics, where questioning authority or engaging in debate may not be encouraged. To overcome these obstacles, educators need support through professional development and flexible curricula that allow room for critical thinking activities.

Numerous classroom practices illustrate the successful integration of critical thinking into ELT. For example, a secondary school teacher in South Korea implemented a debate club where students discussed topics like environmental policy and digital privacy. Despite varying language proficiency, students actively participated and developed both their argumentative skills and confidence.

In another case, an ESL class in Turkey used news articles to analyze media bias and discuss current events. Students were encouraged to identify persuasive techniques, compare sources, and present balanced viewpoints. These activities not only improved reading comprehension and vocabulary but also enhanced their ability to think independently and evaluate information critically.

In a university setting, English learners engaged in simulation-based learning, where they role-played scenarios involving negotiations, social dilemmas, and policy discussions. These simulations helped them not only apply grammar and vocabulary in context but also learn to argue constructively, empathize with multiple viewpoints, and reflect on social responsibility.

In Kyrgyzstan, recent educational reforms have emphasized the inclusion of soft skills in school curricula, including critical thinking. English teachers in rural and urban settings have experimented with debate formats, peer-assessment techniques, and critical reading activities using adapted national materials. Pilot programs supported by international organizations such as the British Council and USAID have trained local teachers in CLIL (Content and Language Integrated Learning) and critical pedagogy approaches. These initiatives have shown positive outcomes in student engagement, autonomy, and higher-order thinking.

To effectively integrate critical thinking into ELT, teachers should design tasks that require students to investigate, analyze, and present ideas. Developing a classroom culture that values questioning and reflection is crucial. Materials should include authentic texts, news articles, opinion pieces, and multimedia content that present multiple perspectives. Scaffolding, such as sentence starters or graphic organizers, can support lower-level learners in expressing complex thoughts [3].

In Kyrgyzstan, where English is often taught in multilingual settings, incorporating culturally relevant materials alongside international content helps connect critical thinking tasks to learners' lived experiences. Using comparative analysis between local and global issues—such as environmental protection, gender roles, or cultural traditions—can make discussions more meaningful and grounded.

Curriculum developers should incorporate critical thinking outcomes in language standards and assessments. Teacher training programs must emphasize pedagogical skills for fostering inquiry and discussion. Assessment strategies should go beyond traditional testing and include performance-based evaluations such as portfolios, peer reviews, and reflective journals. Encouraging peer collaboration and feedback helps students learn from each other and refine their thinking.

The integration of critical thinking in ELT has the potential to transform the classroom from a place of passive learning into an environment of active inquiry and reflection. When students are challenged to analyze, evaluate, and create, they engage more deeply with the content and develop transferable skills. However, the success of this approach depends on the preparedness of educators, the flexibility of curriculum, and the availability of resources.

Institutional support plays a key role in sustaining innovation in ELT. Schools and universities should encourage interdisciplinary collaboration, allocate time for teacher planning, and provide platforms for sharing best practices. Moreover, critical thinking should not be treated as an add-on but rather as an integral part of language learning [4].

Developing critical thinking through English language teaching is not only possible but essential for preparing learners to navigate the complexities of the modern world. When language instruction is enriched with activities that promote analysis, reflection, and evaluation, learners gain more than just communication skills—they become thoughtful, informed individuals capable of lifelong learning. Through task-based instruction, inquiry, authentic materials, and supportive assessment, educators can nurture both linguistic and cognitive growth. The implementation of these strategies requires institutional commitment, teacher training, and a belief in students' potential to think critically in any language.

The experience of Kyrgyzstan demonstrates that with the right support, even resource-constrained educational environments can foster critical thinking through ELT. As more teachers

adopt reflective and inquiry-based methods, critical thinking can become a cornerstone of English education, helping to shape a generation of learners who are articulate, analytical, and open-minded.

In conclusion, integrating critical thinking into English Language Teaching (ELT) represents not just a pedagogical innovation but a necessary evolution in preparing learners for the demands of the 21st century. As English continues to serve as a global medium for education, diplomacy, and professional advancement, the development of learners' higher-order thinking skills becomes equally critical. This article has demonstrated that English language classrooms are uniquely positioned to foster analytical, evaluative, and reflective abilities—skills essential for both academic success and informed citizenship.

The theoretical foundations explored — from Bloom's Taxonomy to Vygotsky's sociocultural theory—illustrate how language and cognition are intricately linked. ELT, therefore, is not merely about linguistic competence but also about developing learners' capacities to question, interpret, and construct knowledge. This connection is brought to life through methods such as task-based and inquiry-driven learning, the use of authentic materials, and classroom strategies that promote dialogic and reflective practices [5].

Examples from various countries, including case studies in South Korea, Turkey, and Kazakhstan, have shown how integrating debate, media analysis, and role-play can successfully engage learners in critical thought. The inclusion of Kyrgyzstan's experience offers a particularly valuable perspective. Despite limitations in resources, schools and educators in both rural and urban areas have actively adopted critical pedagogy through innovative pilot programs and teacher training initiatives. These efforts demonstrate that with targeted support, local adaptation, and international collaboration, even under-resourced contexts can cultivate critical, independent thinkers through English education [6].

To move forward, educational institutions must prioritize teacher development, curricular flexibility, and the inclusion of critical thinking goals in both assessment and classroom practice. Teachers must be equipped with the tools and confidence to lead discussions, scaffold complex tasks, and encourage learners to articulate and challenge ideas. Materials should be contextually relevant, incorporating both local and global perspectives to make critical thinking tasks meaningful and accessible [7].

Moreover, collaboration among stakeholders — teachers, curriculum designers, administrators, and policymakers—is essential for sustaining progress. Institutions should foster a culture of experimentation, reflection, and shared learning. Integrating critical thinking must not be seen as an additional burden but rather as a transformative element that enhances the overall quality of education.

Ultimately, developing critical thinking through ELT empowers learners far beyond the classroom. It prepares them to be active, thoughtful participants in society — capable of making informed decisions, solving real-world problems, and engaging in intercultural dialogue. For countries like Kyrgyzstan, where education reform is actively underway, embracing critical thinking in English teaching is not only an investment in linguistic skill but also a step toward building a more innovative, democratic, and globally connected generation.

References:

1. Halvorsen, A. (2005). Incorporating critical thinking skills development into ESL/EFL courses. *The internet TESL journal*, 11(3), 1-5.
2. Paul, R., & Elder, L. (2012). *Critical Thinking: Tools for Taking Charge of Your Learning And Your Life Plus New MyStudentsuccesslab 2012 Update* -- A. Prentice Hall.

3. Davidson, B. W., & Dunham, R. L. (1996). Assessing EFL Student Progress in Critical Thinking with the Ennis-Weir Critical Thinking Essay Test.
4. Liaw, M. L. (2007). Content-based reading and writing for critical thinking skills in an EFL context. *English Teaching and learning*, 31(2), 45-87.
5. Wallace, C., & Wallace. Catherine Wallace. (2003). *Critical reading in language education* (pp. 312-315). Basingstoke: Palgrave Macmillan. <https://doi.org/10.1057/9780230514447>
6. Stapleton, P. (2001). Assessing critical thinking in the writing of Japanese university students: Insights about assumptions and content familiarity. *Written communication*, 18(4), 506-548. <https://doi.org/10.1177/074108830018004004>
7. Zhumaeva, M., Zhumagulova, E., & Kachkynchieva, A. (2025). Developing Creativity in Primary School Students Through Problem-Based Learning. *Bulletin of Science and Practice*, 11(4), 407-411. <https://doi.org/10.33619/2414-2948/113/54>

Список литературы:

1. Halvorsen A. Incorporating critical thinking skills development into ESL/EFL courses // The internet TESL journal. 2005. V. 11. №3. P. 1-5.
2. Paul R., Elder L. Critical Thinking: Tools for Taking Charge of Your Learning And Your Life Plus New Mystudentsuccesslab 2012 Update -- A. Prentice Hall, 2012.
3. Davidson B. W., Dunham R. L. Assessing EFL Student Progress in Critical Thinking with the Ennis-Weir Critical Thinking Essay Test. 1996.
4. Liaw M. L. Content-based reading and writing for critical thinking skills in an EFL context // English Teaching and learning. 2007. V. 31. №2. P. 45-87.
5. Wallace C., Wallace. Catherine Wallace. Critical reading in language education. Basingstoke : Palgrave Macmillan, 2003. P. 312-315. <https://doi.org/10.1057/9780230514447>
6. Stapleton P. Assessing critical thinking in the writing of Japanese university students: Insights about assumptions and content familiarity // Written communication. 2001. V. 18. №4. P. 506-548. <https://doi.org/10.1177/074108830018004004>
7. Zhumaeva M., Zhumagulova E., Kachkynchieva A. Developing Creativity in Primary School Students Through Problem-Based Learning // Бюллетень науки и практики. 2025. Т. 11. №4. С. 407-411. <https://doi.org/10.33619/2414-2948/113/54>

Работа поступила
в редакцию 22.04.2025 г.

Принята к публикации
27.04.2025 г.

Ссылка для цитирования:

Zhumagulova E., Kachkynchieva A., Zhumaeva M. Developing Critical Thinking through English Language Teaching // Бюллетень науки и практики. 2025. Т. 11. №7. С. 556-560. <https://doi.org/10.33619/2414-2948/116/78>

Cite as (APA):

Zhumagulova, E., Kachkynchieva, A., & Zhumaeva, M. (2025). Developing Critical Thinking through English Language Teaching. *Bulletin of Science and Practice*, 11(7), 556-560. <https://doi.org/10.33619/2414-2948/116/78>